

	<p><b>Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee</b></p> <p><b>6 July 2016</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Title</b></p>	<p><b>East Finchley CPZ review of the hours of operation in roads in the vicinity of Cherry Tree Wood N2</b></p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Report of</b></p>	<p>Commissioning Director for Environment</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Wards</b></p>	<p>East Finchley and Garden Suburb</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Status</b></p>	<p>Public</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Urgent</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Key</b></p>	<p>No</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Enclosures</b></p>	<p>Appendix A – Copy of consultation letter and questionnaire                  Appendix B – Drawing of consultation area                  Appendix C – Overview of survey responses</p>
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Officer Contact Details</b></p>	<p>Gavin Woolery-Allen <a href="mailto:gavin.woolery-allen@barnet.gov.uk">gavin.woolery-allen@barnet.gov.uk</a>; 020 8359 3555</p>

**Summary**

The purpose of this report is to advise of the outcome of the informal parking consultation carried out in the East Finchley CPZ and to outline the initial findings. The report recommends that further analysis take place on the responses to the consultation with a view to reporting detailed findings and proposals, to a future meeting of this Committee.

- Recommendations**
1. **The Committee note the initial results of the East Finchley CPZ parking review consultation.**
  1. **That the Commissioning Director for Environment carry out detailed analysis**

**of the responses and comments to the consultation, and after liaising with the relevant Ward Councillors, report back to a future meeting of this Committee in a report outlining the detailed findings and any proposals.**

## **1. WHY THIS REPORT IS NEEDED**

- 1.1 The Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee on 21 October 2015 resolved, as part of a range of issues that they consider to be outstanding, that a feasibility study should take place in respect of parking in the East Finchley Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ) near Cherry Tree Wood.
- 1.2 Accordingly following Officer and Ward Councillor liaison, it was agreed that a consultation should take place in the area of the East Finchley CPZ to establish the local community's views on the current hours of operation of the CPZ in their road/area.
- 1.3 An informal consultation was carried out in June 2016 with residents and businesses in the area as agreed with Ward Councillors, as outlined in drawing 20495\_8 (Appendix B).
- 1.4 A letter was hand delivered to all residential properties within the consultation area (as indicated in Appendix B), asking the recipient to complete an on-line "SurveyMonkey" questionnaire. The questionnaire asked specifically whether the recipient was happy with the current days and hours of operation of the CPZ in their road, and whether they would like it changed. A copy of the questionnaire is attached as Appendix A.
- 1.5 Approximately 920 letters were hand delivered to all properties in the area. A web page was also set up on the Council's Engage Portal containing details of the informal consultation. The closing date for the consultation was 22 June 2016. Paper or emailed copies of the questionnaire were also made available to residents on request if they were having difficulties or were unwilling to complete the questionnaire online.
- 1.6 A total of 196 questionnaires were returned – a response rate of 21.3%.
- 1.7 Key headlines resulting from the consultation are that 96 (49%) respondents would like the hours/days of operation of the CPZ to be amended, whereas 63 (32%) would not like any change, 31 (16%) were undecided or did not know and 6 (3%) skipped this question 6 (35) skipped this question.
- 1.8 From the responses received approximately half respondents are not satisfied with the current parking restrictions in their road. However, the results showed various different requests for changes to the hours/days.
- 1.9 Due to time constraints before this Committee, the provision of a full analysis of all responses and comments received during the consultation process has not been possible. Therefore it is considered that additional analysis is required to establish whether there is consistent demand for action across the

whole consultation area or whether there are concentrated areas of concern/interest and whether any action is required in those roads or areas.

- 1.10 It is therefore recommended that the Committee note the headline results of the consultation, but that Officers should continue their work to analyse the responses to the consultation with a view to reporting back detailed findings to a future meeting of this Committee.

## **2. REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 The headline responses from the consultation suggests that the area as a whole would like additional investigative work to be carried out or measures introduced, however it is considered that detailed analysis of the responses and comments to the consultation should be carried out to establish any area-wide or localised issues, and reported back to this Committee.

## **3. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED AND NOT RECOMMENDED**

- 3.1 None, as it is considered that detailed analysis should take place to understand and consider all issues raised in the area.

## **4. POST DECISION IMPLEMENTATION**

- 4.1 It is envisaged that a detailed analysis would be reported back to the October meeting of this Committee for consideration.

## **5. IMPLICATIONS OF DECISION**

### **5.1 Corporate Priorities and Performance**

- 5.1.1 The consultation seeks to establish whether measures are required to particularly help to address the Corporate Plan delivery objectives of “a clean and attractive environment, with well-maintained roads and pavements, flowing traffic”.

### **5.2 Resources (Finance & Value for Money, Procurement, Staffing, IT, Property, Sustainability)**

- 5.2.1 There is £10,000 already committed from the Area Committee budget CIL (approved at the 21 October 2015 Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee) for the consultation to take place and it is not envisaged that any further funding will be required for the detailed analysis to take place.

### **5.3 Social Value**

Not applicable in the context of this report.

### **5.4 Legal and Constitutional References**

- 5.4.1 The Council’s Constitution (Responsibility for Functions, Annex A) provides that in the area covered by the committee and within the budget and policy framework discharge functions including those related to local highways and safety schemes.

## **5.5 Risk Management**

5.5.1 This report outlines an initial report on the findings of the consultation, however if a full analysis was not undertaken there is a risk that pertinent issues raised may not be noted or acted upon. There could also be a possible reputational risk if public perception is that the consultation is not considered properly in detail.

## **5.6 Equalities and Diversity**

5.6.1 Full analysis of the responses and comments to the consultation will determine what equalities/diversity impacts there are on vulnerable residents in the area (if any) and enable decisions to be made to benefit all or parts of the community as appropriate.

## **5.7 Consultation and Engagement**

5.7.1 Consultation was undertaken as described elsewhere in this report.

## **5.8 Insight**

5.8.1 None in relation to this report

## **6. BACKGROUND PAPERS**

6.1.1 Item 11 of the Finchley and Golders Green Area Committee meeting of 21 October 2016 – An update on the review of Area Committee Actions (2015-2016)  
<http://barnet.moderngov.co.uk/ieListDocuments.aspx?CId=712&MId=8265&Ver=4>